

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/757,661	01/10/2001	Thomas Magid	9726-2	7492	
30951	7590 08/09/2005		EXAMINER		
NASH & TITUS, LLC			THEIN, MARIA TERESA T		
21402 UNISON RD MIDDLEBURG, VA 20117			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3627		
		DATE MAILED: 08/09/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u>, - </u>	
	09/757,661	MAGID, THOMAS		
į	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Marissa Thein	3627		
	Marissa Thein	3627		

	Marissa Thein	3627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 July 2003</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		•				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);				
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or 						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	`		(DTOL 204)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		March Clad amondar	4!: 4b-			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		•	_			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6-13 and 15-34</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)				

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claim 1 proposes the recitations "and a program, said program having elements for permitting the purchaser to attain access to varying levels of information disclosure in said computer readable storage medium based on level of interest and protecting said levles of disclosure"; "a seller present a first level of infomarion dislosure of said patent or trade secret relating to product or method from said computer readable storage medium to a prospective purchaser....."; and "the seller to present a second level of additional information disclosure relating to said product or method from said computer readable medium......" would require further consideration and search. Claim 2 proposes the recitation "wherein said elements permit the seller to present......" would require further search and consideration. Claim 6 proposes the recitation "wherein each successive level of disclosure has associated......." would require further consideration and search. Claim 10 proposes the recitations "said system comprising: a computerized central network core site, said network core site comprising: at least one computer readable storage medium and a program, said program having elements for permitting the......."; a seller presenting a first level of information disclosure relating to said patent......"; and "said seller presenting a second level of information disclosure that is......." would require further search and consideration.

JAMES MCCLELLAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER